# NEO-COLONIAL INFLUENCES ON AFRICAN DEMOCRACY: ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF EXTERNAL POWERS ON GOVERNANCE AND SOVEREIGNTY IN THE 21ST CENTURY

***AYENI, QUEEN OLUBUKOLA***
*DEPARTMENT OF MODERN LANGUAGES AND TRANSLATION STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF CALABAR, CALABAR, NIGERIA.* buckyayeni@gmail.com

***YAOUBA OUSMANOU***
*DEPARTMENT OF FRENCH, FEDERAL COLLEGE OF EDUCATION YOLA, ADAMAWA STATE,* usmanyauba@gmail.com; yaouba.ous@fceyola.edu.ng

**KEYWORDS**
Neocolonialism, African Democracy, External Influence, Sovereignty, Governance

**ABSTRACT**
*Africa's democratic evolution in the 21st century remains profoundly influenced by external actors, raising concerns about neocolonial interventions in governance and sovereignty. For decades, African nations have struggled with the legacies of colonial rule, which continue to manifest through economic dependencies, political interference, and conditional aid from former colonial powers and global institutions. The rationale for this study stems from the increasing debate over the extent to which these external influences undermine genuine democratic consolidation and self-determination in African states. While extensive research exists on colonial legacies, there is a gap in contemporary analysis of how neocolonial practices shape political institutions, electoral processes, and policy decisions in modern Africa. This study aims to assess the mechanisms through which foreign powers exert control over African democracies, the implications for state sovereignty, and potential pathways for reinforcing independent governance structures. A qualitative research approach is employed, utilizing case studies of selected African countries and policy analysis. Key findings suggest that financial aid, diplomatic pressures, and military interventions serve as tools of neocolonial dominance, often prioritizing external interests over national development. The study concludes that strengthening regional cooperation, economic self-sufficiency, and institutional reforms are crucial to mitigating external influences and fostering authentic democratic governance in Africa.*

## Introduction

The democratic development of African countries in the post-independence era remains deeply shaped by external forces, raising ongoing concerns about neocolonial entrenchment. While the decolonization movement of the mid-20th century granted political independence to most African states, many scholars argue that this independence was partial. Nations remain enmeshed in systems of economic, political, and strategic dependency on former colonial powers and new global actors. Classical postcolonial theorists like Frantz Fanon (1963) and Kwame Nkrumah (1965) anticipated this trajectory, warning that colonialism would transform into subtler forms of control—what Nkrumah termed neo-colonialism. These ideas laid the groundwork for critiques of foreign involvement, especially in development assistance, which often undermines African self-determination. Later scholars such as Mkandawire (2010) have analyzed how externally driven policies like the Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs) of the 1980s–90s weakened state institutions, shifted power away from domestic accountability, and deepened inequality. Stiglitz (2002) similarly criticized the rigidity of these reforms, arguing they obstructed democratic consolidation. More recent scholarship has turned to the growing influence of actors like China and Russia. Researchers including Taylor (2014) and Carmody (2016) examine how new alliances, investments, and military partnerships may reinforce patterns of dependency and elite capture. Yet, much of this literature remains fragmented, often failing to assess how these dynamics collectively shape democracy across Africa today. This paper addresses that gap through a multidimensional analysis of foreign aid, trade deals, diplomatic ties, and military interventions. It contends that while democracy is widely embraced in Africa, its implementation is constrained by foreign interests prioritizing geopolitical and economic objectives. The study proposes pathways for African states to enhance democratic resilience through regional integration, economic autonomy, and renegotiated external engagements.

### The Historical Context of Colonialism in Africa

Colonialism in Africa was a significant era that drastically altered the continent's political, economic, and social frameworks. The late 19th century signaled the beginning of organized European conquest, resource exploitation, and political control, especially after the Berlin Conference of 1884–1885, which formalized the division of Africa among European nations. According to Ayeni and Okon (2023), the interference of former colonial powers continues to hinder progressive development across the continent through sustained political, economic, and cultural domination.

Before colonization, Africa boasted diverse and complex political systems, with empires such as Mali, Kongo, and Ashanti maintaining vibrant trade and governance networks (Davidson, 1994). These civilizations were part of global trade routes and exchanged commodities like gold and ivory with Europe, the Middle East, and Asia (Curtin et al., 1995). The transatlantic slave trade (16th–19th centuries) devastated African societies, weakening states and increasing internal strife (Thornton, 1998). By the 19th century, European interest shifted from the slave trade to territorial control and resource extraction, setting the stage for full colonization (Rodney, 1972).

The "Scramble for Africa" saw European powers, driven by industrial demands and nationalist rivalries, carve up the continent without regard for indigenous political or ethnic systems (Ayeni & Malumi, 2018). The British implemented indirect rule through local leaders, while the French pursued assimilationist policies (Crowder, 1968). Belgian rule in the Congo under King Leopold II was particularly brutal, resulting in millions of deaths through forced labour (Hochschild, 1998).

Colonial economies were restructured to extract raw materials for European industries. Heavy taxation, forced labour, and dependency on monoculture exports entrenched economic dependence (Amin, 1972; Rodney, 1972). Infrastructure developments primarily served colonial extraction rather than African needs. Traditional governance systems were undermined, and European legal, linguistic, and educational frameworks further eroded indigenous institutions.

Despite these oppressive conditions, Africans resisted. Movements such as the Zulu uprising (1879) and the Maji Maji Rebellion (1905–1907) reflected growing opposition to colonial rule. Mid-20th century nationalist movements, inspired by Pan-Africanism and global decolonization efforts, gained momentum. Leaders like Nkrumah, Kenyatta, and Nyerere led their nations to independence through both negotiation and armed struggle (Nkrumah, 1965; Hargreaves, 1996). However, the legacies of colonialism—economic dependency, artificial borders, and external political influence—continue to challenge African sovereignty and development today.

**Conceptual and Theoretical Frameworks**

*Neocolonialism*: As articulated by Kwame Nkrumah (1965), neocolonialism is not a mere residue of colonialism but its strategic evolution. It operates through financial instruments, ideological influence, and global governance structures rather than direct territorial control. In Africa, this is evident in exploitative trade agreements, conditional aid, structural adjustment programmes, and the pervasive presence of multinational corporations whose interests often undermine national development (Amin, 1972). Neocolonialism cloaks subjugation in the language of partnership and development, raising critical concerns about the authenticity of postcolonial sovereignty.

***Democracy***: Democracy is commonly understood as a system grounded in popular sovereignty, rule of law, and political participation (Dahl, 1998). However, in Africa, democratic practice is frequently shaped by external influences. Donor-driven electoral assistance, diplomatic coercion, and the imposition of governance templates often subvert democratic institutions while preserving their formal appearance (Adejumobi, 2000). This paradox reveals democracy as both a universal ideal and a tool of geopolitical control in postcolonial Africa.

***Governance***: Governance refers to the mechanisms through which authority is distributed and exercised (Kaufmann et al., 1999). While the international discourse on "good governance" champions transparency and accountability, it often masks ideological agendas. These externally driven reforms—via aid conditionalities, debt management, and technical assistance—frequently reinforce dependency and undermine domestic policy autonomy (Hyden, 2013; Mamdani, 1996). Thus, governance failures must be viewed in light of both internal limitations and neocolonial entanglements.

***Sovereignty****:* Though African states achieved formal sovereignty post-independence, real autonomy remains constrained. International financial institutions, foreign military presences, and diplomatic pressures challenge the essence of self-governance (Krasner, 1999). Sovereignty in Africa is therefore partial, negotiated, and often fragmented, raising the question of whether postcolonial states are substantively sovereign or merely administratively independent within a global system that limits their agency.

This paper draws on three interrelated theoretical traditions to analyse democracy and governance under neocolonial conditions in Africa:

***Dependency Theory***: Pioneered by scholars like Frank (1967) and Amin (1972), dependency theory highlights structural inequalities in the global economic system, where African states remain reliant on aid, foreign loans, and export-oriented economies (Rodney, 1972). This paper extends the theory by examining how these economic dependencies translate into political subordination and how emerging global actors like China are reshaping dependency dynamics in Africa.

***Postcolonial Theory***: Postcolonial theory, as developed by Fanon (1961), Said (1978), and Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o (1986), critiques the persistence of colonial epistemologies in African governance. It challenges the wholesale adoption of Western democratic models, advocating instead for the recovery and adaptation of indigenous governance systems. This paper proposes a decolonial reimagining of democracy grounded in local epistemologies and traditions.

***World-Systems Analysis***: Wallerstein's (1974) world-systems theory positions African nations as peripheral actors structurally subordinated to serve core economies. By linking global financial governance to domestic political fragility, this paper shows how systemic inequalities undermine democratic agency at the local level. It also critiques the static core-periphery model, acknowledging emerging global shifts through actors like the BRICS.

Despite formal independence, African governance remains heavily influenced by external actors. Cases such as Francafrique, China's economic foothold in Zambia, NATO's intervention in Libya, and multinational control over Nigeria's oil sector illustrate how sovereignty and democracy are continually negotiated within a neocolonial framework.

**Neocolonial Influences in African Countries**

Although African nations achieved formal independence in the mid-20th century, external powers, including former colonial rulers, international financial institutions, and multinational corporations, still wield considerable control over governance and sovereignty. For instance, the French influence in West Africa has its roots in colonization, which shaped the political and economic institutions of the countries in the region (Ayeni & Lori, 2025). Therefore, it is essential to analyze various neocolonial influences, such as France-Africa relations (Francafrique), China's economic dominance in Zambia, Western intervention in Libya, and the involvement of multinational corporations in Nigeria's oil industry.

***France and Francafrique – Political and Economic Control***

Francafrique refers to France's enduring influence over its former African colonies via informal political, economic, and military networks (Ayeni, 2025). Despite formal independence, many Francophones African nations remain bound to France through the CFA franc—used by 14 countries and pegged to the euro—which limits their monetary sovereignty and channels foreign reserves into French accounts (Sylla, 2021). France has repeatedly intervened militarily, as seen in Mali (2013) and the Central African Republic (2014), often under the guise of counterterrorism while protecting its strategic interests (Charbonneau, 2019). French-backed regimes, such as the Bongo family in Gabon, have been accused of repressing dissent to safeguard French economic stakes, especially in oil (Bayart, 2009). These enduring economic and military ties reveal how neocolonialism persists through indirect mechanisms, undermining national sovereignty and democratic reform in Francophone Africa.

***China's Economic Influence in Zambia***

China has emerged as a dominant economic force in Zambia through extensive investment in infrastructure and mining. However, these projects are largely financed through Chinese loans, contributing to Zambia's sovereign debt crisis, including its 2021 default (Carmody, 2020). Chinese companies dominate the copper mining sector, often criticised for exploitative labour practices and environmental harm (Haglund, 2008). This trade pattern—exporting raw materials and importing manufactured goods—mirrors colonial economic structures (Brautigam, 2009), compromising Zambia's economic sovereignty (Taylor, 2016).

***Western Intervention and the Collapse of Libya*:** Libya's NATO-led intervention in 2011, framed as humanitarian, resulted in the death of Muammar Gaddafi and plunged the country into instability (Forte, 2013). Analysts argue that the intervention was motivated by Libya's oil wealth and Gaddafi's challenge to Western financial dominance (Keenan, 2012). Since then, Libya has become a battleground for foreign powers exploiting its resources (Pack, 2019; Chorin, 2022).

***Multinational Corporations in Nigeria's Oil Industry:*** Nigeria's oil sector is dominated by multinational corporations like Shell and Chevron, which extract significant profits while causing environmental damage and deepening inequality (Okonta & Douglas, 2003; Watts, 2004). These firms have also been implicated in corruption and the militarisation of the Niger Delta (Ikelegbe, 2005; Peel, 2009). Their operations exemplify how foreign capital continues to undermine national development and democratic governance.

**Economic Neocolonialism: Debt, Trade, and Investment**

Economic neocolonialism reflects the enduring economic dependencies of African nations on foreign powers, institutions, and corporations. While political sovereignty was achieved, true economic autonomy remains elusive due to debt dependency, inequitable trade, and exploitative investment. Many African countries rely on loans from the IMF, World Bank, and bilateral lenders like China, often with conditions that undermine national sovereignty (Mkandawire, 2015). Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs) of the 1980s–90s required privatization, trade liberalization, and cuts in public spending, deepening poverty and economic instability (Stiglitz, 2002).

China's Belt and Road Initiative, while enabling infrastructure growth, has led to unsustainable debt, as seen in Zambia's 2021 default (Brautigam, 2020). Debt servicing consumes over 20% of African government revenues, limiting social investment (AfDB, 2022). Trade imbalances persist as African economies export raw materials and import manufactured goods, reinforcing colonial patterns (Rodney, 1972). Unfair trade agreements like the EPAs undermine local industries (Busse & Großmann, 2007), while foreign direct investment prioritizes ressource extraction over sustainable development (Kaplinsky, 2005; UNECA, 2015).

**Political Neocolonialism: Military Interventions and Regime Change**

Political neocolonialism highlights ongoing foreign interference in Africa's political affairs despite formal independence. Military interventions, often framed as peacekeeping or counterterrorism efforts, frequently advance the strategic interests of external powers—securing access to resources or extending geopolitical influence. France's repeated interventions in Mali and the Central African Republic exemplify this pattern (Charbonneau, 2017; Ayeni & Brown, 2025). During the Cold War, superpowers like the US and USSR backed opposing factions in African conflicts—a trend that continues today. Regime change remains a critical neocolonial tool: the US and Belgium orchestrated Patrice Lumumba's assassination, while the UK and US supported Nkrumah's ousting (Hansen, 2012). The 2011 NATO intervention in Libya and France's Operation Barkhane were presented as humanitarian but triggered regional instability (Ayeni & Ogbang, 2025). Such interventions foster dependence on foreign security, weakening sovereignty. The Libyan collapse intensified Sahelian insecurity, fuelling arms trafficking and militancy (Ayeni, Effiong & Ogban, 2025). Political neocolonialism thus entrenches instability, hindering democratic and developmental progress.

**Cultural Neocolonialism: Media and Education**

Cultural neocolonialism refers to the pervasive influence of former colonial powers and dominant global forces in shaping the cultural, intellectual, and ideological frameworks of postcolonial states, particularly in Africa. A unique linguistic diversity, inherited from the colonial history … includes the official languages of african states, which reflect the influence of former colonial powers. French in all former French and Belgian colonies, English in all former British colonies, and Portuguese in all former Portuguese colonies (Ayeni & Orji, 2024). This form of neocolonialism is distinct from political or economic dimensions, manifesting primarily through the dissemination of foreign values and norms that undermine indigenous identities and traditions. Two significant channels of cultural neocolonialism are media and education. In the media landscape, Western conglomerates dominate, controlling narratives that shape global perceptions, often portraying Africa through a lens of conflict and poverty (Fair, 1993). This biased representation marginalizes African voices, leading to what Ngugi wa Thiong'o (1986) describes as "the colonization of the mind." Furthermore, reliance on Western news agencies distorts African narratives, portraying local issues through a Eurocentric perspective (Bunce, Franks, & Paterson, 2017). Moreover, the dominance of foreign entertainment industries, such as Hollywood, encourages African youth to embrace Western lifestyles, frequently overshadowing local cultural traditions. The expansion of digital media has intensified this trend, as platforms like Facebook and Twitter, primarily owned by Western corporations, tend to amplify Western perspectives while marginalizing indigenous voices.African education systems continue to bear the imprint of colonial influence, with European languages and Eurocentric curricula taking precedence while sidelining indigenous knowledge. Mastery of languages such as English and French is frequently linked to upward social mobility, thereby reinforcing Western ideological dominance. This educational structure suppresses independent thought and sustains the notion that Africa's development is dependent on Western involvement. Furthermore, global institutions like the World Bank influence educational policies in ways that deepen this reliance, particularly through structural adjustment programmes.

**Technological Neocolonialism and Africa's Digital Dependency**

Technological neocolonialism describes how technologically advanced nations and corporations dominate Africa via digital infrastructure, data governance, and innovation (Couldry & Mejias, 2019). Despite ICTs' transformative potential, Africa remains digitally dependent, with just 39% internet penetration versus 87% in Europe (ITU, 2021). Limited broadband, high data costs, and power

shortages exacerbate this divide (Gwagwa et al., 2020). Initiatives like Google's Project Loon and Facebook's Free Basics raise concerns over digital colonialism, as foreign firms extract data from African users (Nyabola, 2018). Western tech giants control much of Africa's hardware, software, and cloud services, curbing local innovation and digital sovereignty (Gwagwa et al., 2020). Data colonialism enables external actors to monetise user data, as seen in the Cambridge Analytica scandal which demonstrated how social media data could be manipulated to influence African elections (Couldry & Mejias, 2019). To counter this, scholars urge investment in local infrastructure, open-source adoption, and data protection laws mandating local data storage (Amoah & Sutherland, 2022), essential for digital autonomy and economic justice.

**Civil Society and Resistance to Neo-colonial Influences**

Neo-colonialism in Africa manifests through economic dependence, political interference, cultural domination, and technological control. Civil society—including NGOs, grassroots movements, activists, intellectuals, and independent media—has become vital in resisting these influences and advocating for sovereignty and justice (Obadare, 2019). Pro-democracy movements like Y'en a Marre in Senegal and Balai Citoyen in Burkina Faso mobilise citizens against authoritarian, foreign-backed regimes (Branch & Mampilly, 2015). In Nigeria, SERAP and BudgIT promote transparency and electoral integrity (Ogundiya, 2012; Akinboye, 2013). To counter economic neocolonialism, groups like AFRODAD oppose debt dependence and unfair trade (Bond, 2006), while campaigns like Buy Naija encourage local industry (Ake, 1981). Cultural resistance includes challenging Western narratives through platforms like The Elephant and Decolonize Education (Nyamnjoh, 2017). In the digital space, Paradigm Initiative leads advocacy on data sovereignty, as seen in Nigeria's #StopTheSale movement (Amoah & Sutherland, 2022). Despite repression and funding constraints, strengthening civil society remains key to resisting neocolonial domination (Moyo, 2009).

**International Organizations and Neo-colonial Dynamics**

International organizations like the United Nations (UN), International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and World Trade Organization (WTO) were established to promote global peace, stability, and economic growth. However, in reality, these institutions have frequently faced criticism for perpetuating neo-colonial frameworks in Africa by deepening economic dependence and limiting political autonomy (Moyo, 2009; Bond, 2006). During the 1980s and 1990s, the IMF and World Bank introduced Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs), which required African nations in debt crises to implement austerity measures, deregulate markets, and privatize public enterprises. These reforms led to cuts in vital sectors such as healthcare and education, exacerbating poverty and weakening state control over economic decision-making (Bond, 2006). The continued weight of external debt, much of it derived from restrictive IMF and World Bank loans, hampers African growth, with national budgets heavily burdened by debt servicing rather than development initiatives. Despite efforts like the HIPC Initiative, dependency remains, as new conditional loans perpetuate the same challenges (Mkandawire, 2010). Likewise, the WTO has drawn criticism for trade policies that favour the Global North by imposing unfair terms on African exports and restricting the continent's ability to grow indigenous industries through rigid intellectual property laws (Chang, 2002).

The UN also reflects neo-colonial tendencies, particularly through the unequal structure of the Security Council, where African voices remain marginalized (Adebajo, 2008). Moreover, UN peacekeeping missions often lack African leadership and are driven by selective political interests (Bachmann & Schenck, 2012).

In response, scholars advocate for regional integration, alternative development funding, and stronger demands for global institutional reform to help African nations regain sovereignty and foster genuine self-reliance (Adesina, 2021).

**China and Other Emerging Powers in Africa**

Africa has emerged as a strategic battleground for rising powers like China, India, Brazil, and Russia, which have increased their economic, political, and security involvement across the continent. Notably, China has become Africa's largest trading partner, overtaking traditional Western players. Through the Forum on China-Africa Cooperation (FOCAC), Beijing has funded large-scale infrastructure, energy, and mining projects, aiding in economic

integration (Carmody, 2016). However, concerns over debt sustainability and resource exploitation remain. India has also deepened ties with Africa, focusing on technology, pharmaceuticals, and ICT (Taylor, 2012). Brazil and Russia have engaged in agricultural, energy, and defence partnerships (Vickers, 2013). These collaborations offer alternatives to Western aid, promoting multipolarity and reducing external dependency (Cheru & Obi, 2010).

In addition to economic engagement, China has broadened its influence in diplomacy and security, enhancing its participation in UN peacekeeping operations and setting up its first overseas military base in Djibouti (Large, 2008; Shinn & Eisenman, 2012). While China's "no-strings-attached" aid is praised for enabling African agency (Zhao, 2014), others caution against emerging neocolonial dynamics (Hodzi, 2018). India leverages its diaspora and historical ties for political cooperation (Mawdsley, 2010), Brazil champions South-South cooperation in Lusophone countries (White, 2010), and Russia pursues influence through arms deals and energy diplomacy (Shubin, 2008). Although these engagements support development by boosting infrastructure and industrialization, they also pose challenges, including environmental degradation, labour rights violations, and governance risks.

## Conclusion

The enduring impact of external powers on African democracy remains a significant issue in the 21st century, with neocolonial patterns continuing to influence political, economic, and institutional landscapes across the continent. While foreign investment and international cooperation can promote development, they often create imbalanced relationships that weaken national sovereignty and limit authentic democratic progression. This paper contributes to the postcolonial discourse by demonstrating how contemporary global influence—through diplomatic pressures, conditional aid, and military partnerships—mirrors colonial control in more subtle, yet equally powerful ways. The findings support the perspectives of dependency theory and world-systems analysis, which argue that African nations remain constrained within an unequal global order. However, the study goes further by acknowledging the increasing roles of emerging powers such as China and Russia, whose opaque, interest-driven engagements add new layers to Africa's geopolitical entanglements. Unlike earlier analyses that framed intervention as one-directional, this study recognizes the strategic agency of African leaders who navigate competing foreign interests with varied levels of autonomy. It also highlights growing regional and grassroots resistance to external dominance, including through African Union mechanisms, active civil society, and digital advocacy. The research concludes that for Africa to achieve genuine democratic sovereignty, it must foster internal legitimacy, economic independence, and assertive policy making, ultimately reshaping its relationships with global powers to avoid sustained dependency.

## References

Adebajo, A. (2008). From global to local: The role of the UN in African peacekeeping.

Adejumobi, S. (2000). Between democracy and development in Africa: What are the missing links? African Development, 25(3/4), 25-38.

Adejumobi, S. (2000). Elections in Africa: A fading shadow of democracy? International Political Science Review, 21(1), 59–73.

Adesina, O. C. (2021). African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) and its potential to reshape Africa's economic landscape. African Journal of Economic Policy, 28(2), 45-67.

African Development Bank. (2022). African Economic Outlook 2022. African Development Bank Group.

Alden, Chris. China in Africa. London: Zed Books, 2007.

Amin, S. (1972). Underdevelopment and dependence in Black Africa—origins and contemporary forms. The Journal of Modern African Studies, 10(4), 503-524.

Amin, S. (1976). Unequal Development: An Essay on the Social Formations of Peripheral Capitalism. Monthly Review Press.

Amnesty International. (2016). This Is What We Die For: Human Rights Abuses in the Democratic Republic of the Congo Power the Global Trade in Cobalt. Amnesty International.

Amoah, L., & Sutherland, E. (2022). Digital sovereignty in Africa: Challenges and policy imperatives. Information & Communications Technology Journal, 14(3), 45-60.

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola & Orji, Lei Maurice. (2024). Analyse du Contexte Sociopolitique et Linguistique des Pays de la CEDEAO. Nigeria Police Academy Journal Of Humanities (Polacjoh). 4(2), 40-53,

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola & Brown, Pretty Chinwendu (2025). La Coopération Franco-nigériane: Vers la Création d'une Alliance Stratégique en Afrique. International Journal of Arts, Languages, Linguistics & Literary Studies (JOLLS), 14 (1), 84-92 ISSN: 2636-7149-6300, Http://www.jolls.com.ng/v2/

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola & Ellah Timothy Ogbang. (2025). Terrorism and Regional Stability in the Sahel, 2015-2024. *Humanus Discourse Journal*, 5 (3), 1-15.

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola & Malumi, Soni Omolara. (2018). La Politique Linguistique des Langues Européennes en Afrique Occidentale. American International Journal of Research in Humanities Arts and Social Sciences (AIJRHASS). 22(1), 262-266.

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola & Okon, Dorathy Effiom. (2023) Vers une Bilinguisme Fonctionnel et Interractionnel pour les Groupuscules de la sous-region de l'Afrique Occidentale. Northern Inter-University French Journal (NUFJOL), 10(1), 119-135.

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola, Effiong, Eke Nta & Ogbang,Timothy Ellah (2025). Linguistic Solidarity in Focus: The Arab League's Ambitions, Successes and Challenges in Modern World Politics. *Humanus Discourse Journal.* 5(3), 1-17.

Ayeni, Queen Olubukola. Les Relations entre la France et l'Afrique de l'Ouest: La Françafrique et la France-Afrique. CASCADES. 3(1), 2025, 1-15.

Bachmann, J., & Schenck, M. (2012). The UN and post-conflict reconstruction in Africa. Journal of International Relations, 26(3), 311-329.

Bayart, J. F. (2009). The State in Africa: The Politics of the Belly. Polity.

Brautigam, D. (2020). The Dragon's Gift: The Real Story of China in Africa. Oxford University Press.

Bunce, M., Franks, S., & Paterson, C. (Eds.). (2017). Africa's Media Image in the 21st Century: From the "Heart of Darkness" to "Africa Rising". Routledge.

Busse, M., & Großmann, H. (2007). The trade and investment effects of preferential trade agreements. Kyklos, 60(3), 373-386.

Carmody, P. (2016) The New Scramble for Africa. 2nd edn. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Carmody, P. (2020). Africa's Shadow Rise: China and the Mirage of African Economic Development. Zed Books.

Carmody, Pádraig. (2016) The Rise of the BRICS in Africa: The Geopolitics of South-South Relations. London: Zed Books.

Chang, H. J. (2002). Kicking Away the Ladder: Development Strategy in Historical Perspective. Anthem Press.

Charbonneau, B. (2017). France and the New Imperialism: Security Policy in Sub-Saharan Africa. Routledge.

Charbonneau, B. (2019). Intervention in Mali: Building Peace between France and Africa? Routledge.

Cheru, Fantu, and Cyril Obi, eds. The Rise of China and India in Africa: Challenges, Opportunities and Critical Interventions. London: Zed Books, 2010.

Chomsky, N. (1999). The Fateful Triangle: The United States, Israel, and the Palestinians. South End Press.

Chorin, E. (2022). Exit the Colonel: The Hidden History of the Libyan Revolution. PublicAffairs.

Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). The Costs of Connection: How Data is Colonizing

Human Life and Appropriating It for Capitalism. Stanford University Press.

Crowder, M. (1968). West Africa under colonial rule. Hutchinson.

Curtin, P. D., Feierman, S., Thompson, L., & Vansina, J. (1995). African history: From earliest times to independence. Longman.

Dahl, R. A. (1998). On democracy. Yale University Press.

Davidson, B. (1994). The search for Africa: A history in the making. Times Books.

Fair, C. C. (1993). Media representations of Africa: The impact of the West.

Fair, J. E. (1993). War, famine, and poverty: Race in the construction of Africa's media image. Journal of Communication Inquiry, 17(2), 5–22.

Fanon, F. (1961). The wretched of the earth (C. Farrington, Trans.). Grove Press.

Fanon, F. (1963) The Wretched of the Earth. Translated by C. Farrington. New York: Grove Press.

Forte, M. C. (2013). Slouching Towards Sirte: NATO's War on Libya and Africa. Baraka Books.

Frank, A. G. (1967). Capitalism and underdevelopment in Latin America: Historical studies of Chile and Brazil. Monthly Review Press.

Gwagwa, A., Kraemer-Mbula, E., Rizk, N., Rutenberg, I., & De Beer, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence (AI) deployment in Africa: Implications for innovation, development, and governance. The African Journal of Information and Communication, 26(1), 1-22.

Gwagwa, T., et al. (2020). The state of digital infrastructure in Africa: Opportunities and challenges.

Haglund, D. (2008). Regulating FDI in weak African states: A case study of Chinese copper mining in Zambia. The Journal of Modern African Studies, 46(4), 547- 575.

Hansen, E. (2012). Africa: Perspectives on Peace and Development. Zed Books.

Hansen, H. (2012). The US, Britain, and the overthrow of Kwame Nkrumah.

Hargreaves, J. D. (1996). Decolonization in Africa. Longman.

Hochschild, A. King Leopold's ghost: A story of greed, terror, and heroism in colonial Africa. Mariner Books, 1998.

Hyden, G. (2013). Governance and the reconstruction of political order. In G. Hyden, J. Court, & K. Mease (Eds.), Making sense of governance: Empirical evidence from sixteen developing countries (pp. 25–47). Lynne Rienner Publishers.

International Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2021). Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2021. Retrieved from https://www.itu.int

Kaplinsky, R. Global Value Chains: What Are They and How Can They Be Used for Development? In J. M. P. de Almeida & H. C. de Oliveira (Eds.), The Global Value Chain in the Digital Age (pp. 23-42). Springer, 2013.

Kaplinsky, R. Globalization, Poverty and Inequality: Between a Rock and a Hard Place. Polity Press, 2005.

Kaplinsky, Raphael, Dorothy McCormick, and Mike Morris. The Impact of China on Sub-Saharan Africa. London: Institute of Development Studies, 2007.

Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Zoido-Lobatón, P. (1999). Governance matters. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2196.

Keenan, J. (2012). The Dying Sahara: US Imperialism and Terror in Africa. Pluto Press.

Krasner, S. D. (1999). Sovereignty: Organized hypocrisy. Princeton University Press.

Kuperman, A. J. (2015). Obama's Libya Debacle: How a Well-Meaning Intervention Ended in Failure. Foreign Affairs, 94(2), 66-77.

Large, Daniel. "Beyond 'Dragon in the Bush': The Study of China–Africa Relations." African Affairs 107, no. 428 (2008): 45-61.

Mamdani, M. (1996). Citizen and subject: Contemporary Africa and the legacy of late colonialism. Princeton University Press.

Mkandawire, T. (2010). Aid, accountability, and democracy in Africa. African Development Review, 22(1), 1-14.

Mkandawire, T. (2015). Neopatrimonialism and the Political Economy of Economic Performance in Africa: Critical Reflections. World Politics, 67(1), 67-108.

Moyo, D. (2009). Dead Aid: Why Aid Is Not Working and How There Is a Better Way for Africa.London: Penguin Books.

Moyo, Dambisa. (2013). Winner Take All: China's Race for Resources and What It Means for the World. New York: Basic Books.

Ngũgĩ ssswa Thiong'o. (1986). Decolonising the mind: The politics of language in African literature. Heinemann.

Nkrumah, K. (1965). Neo-colonialism: The last stage of imperialism. Thomas Nelson & Sons.

Nyabola, N. (2018). Digital Democracy, Analogue Politics: How the Internet Era is Transforming Kenya. Zed Books.

Nyamnjoh, F. B. (2017). #RhodesMustFall: Nibbling at Resilient Colonialism in South Africa. Langaa RPCIG.

Obadare, E. (2019). The Handbook of Civil Society in Africa. Springer.

Ogundiya, Isaiah. Transparency and Accountability in Nigeria's Public Sector. Benin: University of Benin Press, 2012.

Okonta, I., & Douglas, O. (2003). Where Vultures Feast: Shell, Human Rights, and Oil in the Niger Delta. Verso.

Queen Olubukola Ayeni & Faith Lori. (2025). La Francophonie: Stratégie Géopolitique de la France en Afrique de l'Ouest. Veritas Journal of Humanities, 6(1), 2025, 1-13.

Rodney, W. (1972). How Europe Underdeveloped Africa. Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications.

Said, E. W. (1978). Orientalism. Pantheon Books.

Shinn, David H., and Joshua Eisenman. China and Africa: A Century of Engagement. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2012.

Shubin, V. Russia's Return to Africa: The Impact of Geopolitical Changes. African Security Studies, 26(3), 2017, 237-254.

Shubin, Vladimir. The Hot 'Cold War': The USSR in Southern Africa. London: Pluto Press, 2008.

Stiglitz, J.E. (2002) Globalisation and its discontents. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.

Sylla, N. S. (2021). Imperialism and the Political Economy of the Franc Zone. Pluto Press.

Taylor, I. (2014) Africa rising? BRICS – Diversifying dependency. Oxford: James Currey.

Taylor, I. (2016). China's Rise in Africa: Prospects and Problems. Polity.

Taylor, Ian. India's African Engagement: Trends and Challenges. London: Chatham House, 2012.

Thornton, J. (1998). Africa and Africans in the making of the Atlantic world, 1400- 1800. Cambridge University Press.

UNECA. (2015). Illicit Financial Flows: Report of the High-Level Panel on Illicit Financial Flows from Africa. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa.

Vickers, Brendan. "Africa and the Emerging Powers: The South and the Reform of Global Governance." In The Oxford Handbook of the International Relations

of Asia, edited by Saadia M. Pekkanen, John Ravenhill, and Rosemary Foot, 627-645. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.

Wallerstein, I. (1974). The modern world-system I: Capitalist agriculture and the origins of the European world-economy in the sixteenth century. Academic Press.

Watts, M. (2004). Resource curse? Governmentality, oil and power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. Geopolitics, 9(1), 50-80.

White, Lyal. (2010). "Understanding Brazil's Africa Policy." South African Journal of International Affairs. 17(2) 221-242.

Zhao, Suisheng. China in Africa: Strategic Motives and Economic Diplomacy. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.