BREAKING GENDER STEREOTYPES: A CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION

Ofordi Joy Amarachi
Department of Mass Communication
Kaduna State University
joynorbert@gmail.Com
07066173020

&

Ayodele Babatunde Joseph
Department of Mass Communication
Kaduna state university
mcmdr001@gmail.com
08037036578

Abstract

The distributional structure of the world's languages is heavily influenced by gender stereotypes (lewis and Lupyan, 2020) and have been demonstrated to be stable over time, with relatively few changes to the fundamental gender roles (Haines 2016). This contributes to difficulty in communicating effectively. This paper, specifically identify the ways gender stereotypes can create barriers to effective communication, the negative implications of these barriers as well as propose strategies for breaking down these stereotypes and improving communication outcomes. Drawing strength from Gender and Communication theory, the paper explores how societal norms, media representations, power dynamics, and institutional practices influence communication patterns and hinder the breaking of gender stereotypes. Women are sometimes referred to, as the weaker sex because they are more easily subjugated by strong, physically dominant men who are also able to support their family. It discovered that stereotypes of these nature can lead to a number of barriersincluding disrespect, invisibility, discrimination and microaggressions as people may make subtle, yet harmful comments or behaviours that reinforce gender stereotypes, and hindering effective communication. The paper, therefore, recommends that it is important to be aware of the ways that gender stereotypes can impact communication by first, examining our own biases and assumptions as individuals, and organisations, about gender roles in communication. It is also important to challenge these stereotypes in order to create a more inclusive and equitable communication environment.

Keywords: gender stereotypes, communication, media, barriers, effective communication

Introduction

Gender stereotypes are beliefs about the characteristics and roles of men and women. These stereotypes are often based on outdated and inaccurate assumptions about what it means to be a man or a woman.Gender stereotypes are pervasive in our society, and they can have a significant impact on how people communicate. These stereotypes can lead to misunderstandings, conflict, and other

barriers to effective communication.For example, women may be interrupted more often, be less likely to be taken seriously, and be less likely to be promoted due to the stereotype that they are emotional and less assertive. Men may be seen as less compassionate, less understanding, and less likely to be able to handle difficult conversations due to the stereotype that they are logical and less emotional. Stereotypes can also have an impact on communication, such as speech, where women may speak more quickly and interrupt more frequently than men do when conversing and exerting dominance. Johnson, K. L (1994)

While there are a number of worksdone in this area, non focuses on understanding how communication is influenced.

Lockenhoff (2014) identifies a number of ways that gender stereotypes can impact on the process of communication as follows:

The way language is used:This can reflect gender stereotypes. For example, use of different words or phrases to describe men and women, or use of different tones of voice when talking to men and women.

1. Listening: one may be more likely to listen to, and take seriously the communication of people who is believedto possess similar traits. This can lead to discounting the communication of people who are believedto be different, such as people of a different gender.
2. In negotiating:one may be more likely to make concessions or give up ground in a negotiation if it's believed that the other person is of a different gender. This can lead togetting a worse deal in negotiations.

Gender stereotypes can have a significant impact on the process of communication. Hence, it is important to be aware of these stereotypes and actively work to combat them in order to promote effective communication and gender equality. Rudman, L. A (2001).

Objective

The paper seeks to comprehensively identify and examine the diverse ways or forms in which gender stereotypes manifest in communication. By thoroughly exploring these manifestations, the study aims to ascertain the negative barriers they create, hindering effective and inclusive dialogue. Understanding the impact of gender stereotypes on communication is essential for addressing the challenges they pose and promoting more equitable and respectful interactions.

Thispaper sheds light on the adverse impacts of gender stereotypes on interpersonal communication in diverse circumstances by an in-depth analysis of existing literature and real-life examples. The goal

of the study is to clarify how these preconceptions support discriminatory behavior, discredit different viewpoints, and uphold social injustices.

In addition, the study seeks to offer helpful advice for people, groups, and society at large in order to stop the spread of stereotypical communication.

Theoretical framework

This paper is anchored on the *Gender and Communication Theory*. The theory is a comprehensive framework that explores how gender influences communication patterns, norms, and expectations in interpersonal interactions. It recognizes that gender is not solely determined by biological factors but is also shaped by social, cultural, and psychological influences.

In the context of breaking gender stereotypes in communication, gender and communication theory provides valuable insights into the role of societal expectations and norms in shaping communication practices. According to Wood (1994), gendered communication patterns are constructed through socialization processes that teach individuals how to communicate based on their assigned gender roles. These patterns can perpetuate gender stereotypes and create barriers to effective communication.

By utilizing gender and communication theory, researchers can identify the specific barriers to effective communication that arise from gender stereotypes, which can include issues such as gendered language, biases in perception, unequal power dynamics, and limited opportunities for self-expression.

Additionally, the gender and communication theory highlight the influence of media representations on gender stereotypes and communication practices. The media plays a significant role in shaping societal perceptions and reinforcing gender norms. According to Sterk& Adams (2002), media portrayals of gender often perpetuate stereotypes, constraining individuals' understanding of appropriate communication behaviors.

Overall, Gender and Communication Theory provides a suitable theoretical framework for the paper by illuminating how gender roles, stereotypes, and power dynamics impact communication processes. It allows for a critical examination of the barriers hindering effective communication and opens avenues for exploring strategies to overcome these barriers and promote more inclusive and effective communication practices.

Overview of Prevalent Gender Stereotypes in Communication

Eagly& Karau (2002) argue that people are more likely to view female leaders negatively because they do not fit the traditional stereotype of a leader. This stereotype is that leaders are assertive, decisive, and competitive. Women are often seen as being more passive, emotional, and cooperative. As a result, people may view female leaders as being less competent or less qualified for leadership positions.

Some common gender stereotypes include:

1. Men are more logical and less emotional than women.
2. Women are more nurturing and less assertive than men.
3. Men are better at math and science than women.
4. Women are better at language and communication than men.

Gender stereotypes can manifest in different contexts. For example, in the workplace, women may be less likely to be promoted to leadership positions because they are seen as less assertive or less capable than men. In relationships, women may be expected to be more nurturing and supportive, while men may be expected to be more protective and financially responsible. In the media, women are often portrayed as sex objects or as victims, while men are often portrayed as heroes or as villains.

Barriers to Effective Communication in our Diverse Society

As it crosses many disciplines, including communication studies, psychology, and organizational behavior, barriers to successful communication is a topic that has received substantial research. Successful communication between people, within groups, and in this example, between different genders, can be hampered by a number of issues.

Holmes (2020) identifies three specific problems that commonly occur as follows:

I. **Lack of Understanding:** The lack of understanding that frequently exists between persons from various backgrounds is a significant roadblock to efficient communication. Communication issues can arise because people may have different values, opinions, ways of reasoning, communication styles, working techniques, and personality types. Each side needs to have a precise understanding of the other's thoughts, feelings, ideas, values, styles, desires, and goals in order to communicate effectively. However, this comprehension is not always attained due to the variances between the communication partners. This is made worse by the fact that not everyone is extremely successful at learning about potential differences in others. An essential

communication skill is empathy, which is the capacity to comprehend the world from another person's point of view. Unfortunately, the time required to genuinely grasp other people's perspectives is not made available in our fast-paced, technologically driven environment.

II. **Judgmental Attitudes:** When it comes to interacting with those who are different, some people's judgmental attitudes constitute a significant obstacle to good communication. While some people want to think of themselves as welcoming and open-minded, they may actually feel uncomfortable with people who have different values, views, or behaviors because they view those differences negatively. This is the core of cultural bias: we judge right and wrong according to how closely the beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors of others resemble our own. To effectively communicate with those who are different, one must put aside preconceived notions about their behavior and make an effort to see things from their point of view.

III. **Stereotyping:** The tendency of people to categorize and make assumptions about other people based on recognized characteristics such as gender, race, ethnicity, age, religion, socioeconomic status, body type, or nationality is the biggest obstacle to effective communication. 'Unconscious bias' is what is meant by this. At some point, everyone applies stereotypes to others and makes conclusions about them.

The essence of "affinity bias" is the human propensity to gravitate toward those we perceive to be most like ourselves and, as a result, shy away from those we perceive to be less like ourselves. Another subtle example is assuming people will behave a certain way based on their race, gender, or place of origin.

Identifying Gender Stereotypes

Building solid interpersonal relationships, encouraging collaboration, and succeeding in a variety of social circumstances, such as workplaces, educational settings, and personal encounters, all depend on effective communication. Gendered stereotypes present in communication, however, can seriously impede effective and fair communication between people of various genders.

Stereotypes about gender in communication have a variety of negative effects. They exacerbate gender inequities and contribute to a less productive and inclusive culture of communication as a whole. Additionally, adverts, motion pictures, and television programs all frequently support and maintain these gendered stereotypes, influencing how people view proper communication behaviors for

both men and women (Courtney & Whitney 1983). This media-driven reinforcement strengthens and maintains prejudiced communication styles and attitudes, restricting people's capacity for genuine and efficient communication across gender boundaries.

In order to promote inclusive and equitable communication practices regardless of gender identification, it is crucial to recognize and comprehend gendered assumptions in communication.

Gender stereotypes will be identified and understood through three (3) basic lenses namely:

1. **Perceptions of Gender roles in Communication**

Research shows that language use frequently reflects and supports gender stereotypes. The origins of sex differences in a variety of behaviors, including language use, are explored by Eagly and Wood (1999). They talk about how gender roles and societal norms influence people's communication patterns. Women, for instance, are frequently portrayed in terms that are more social and emotional, reflecting societal expectations that they will be nurturing and emotionally expressive. Contrarily, men are more often linked with dominant and aggressive language, which reflects cultural expectations that men will be more competitive and assertive in their communication.

Deborah Tannen's "*You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation"* (1990), another well-known paper on gender variations in communication patterns, is another important source. The main topic of Tannen's research is how men and women communicate differently. She contends that men tend to use more report-talk, which prioritizes sharing facts and expressing opinions, while women typically use more rapport-talk, which emphasizes forming connections and establishing relationships.

These disparities in communication styles might result in misinterpretations and communication obstacles between genders. Men may see a woman's use of rapport-talk, such as when she demonstrates empathy or attempts to establish rapport, as uncertainty or a lack of conviction. Women, on the other hand, could find it offensive or overbearing when men utilize report-talk to deliver information. These divergent approaches can obstruct productive interpersonal relationships and cause communication breakdowns.

Numerous studies in the domains of linguistics, communication studies, and psychology have been done to better understand how people perceive gender roles in communication and how language use affects gender stereotypes. The understanding of gender and

language use has greatly benefited from the work of researchers like Deborah Tannen, Janet Holmes, and Jennifer Coates.

In conclusion, Gender preconceptions are reflected and reinforced in language use, which affects how men and women communicate. This may result in misconceptions and communication difficulties between people.

2. Media portrayal and its impact

Glick and Fiske (1996) assert that traditional gender stereotypes are frequently perpetuated by media portrayals of men and women, which affects communication patterns, and that the media plays a crucial role in influencing how society perceives gender roles.

The media is the most prevalent and one of the most potent influencers on how we regard men and women among the many other factors. Our daily lives are woven with media, which constantly insinuates its messages into our consciousness. All media transmit gendered images, many of which support sexist stereotypes and other unrealistic, constricting ideas.

Glick and Fiske (1996) distinguish between two types of sexism: hostile, which is characterized by attitudes toward women that are unfavorable, and benevolent, which is characterized by a paternalistic perspective of women. Women may suffer from discrimination and have fewer opportunities as a result of both sorts of sexism. This suggests that stereotypes about women in culture are also present in the media's depictions of women.

For instance, in 1987, men had nearly two-thirds of the speaking roles on primetime television. The majority of women are portrayed as submissive, dependent on males, involved in relationships, and substantially younger and slimmer than women in the general population (Davis, 1990). Female newscasters are believed to be younger, more physically appealing, and less outspoken than male newscasters, which has an impact on news programs as well (Craft, 1988; Sanders & Rock, 1988). The media continue to reinforce the conventional stereotype of women as helpless, ornamental objects whose main goals are to look beautiful, appease men, and maintain a low profile. By portraying men and women in conventional positions in media advertisements, gender stereotypes are regularly reinforced, which affects how people view appropriate communication behaviors. Whipple & Courtney (1983).

3. Stereotypes in Organizational Communication

Stereotypes about gender in communication pose dangers outside of the workplace as well. Leadership roles and negotiating strategies still have this problem. Every organization requires leadership, and effective leadership is the cornerstone or foundation around which

the firm is constructed. This implies that poor communication can derail relationships, which in turn can undermine or demolish leadership positions and the people who hold them. According to Karau (2002), gender stereotypes can have an impact on a leader's effectiveness in an organizational setting since traits often associated with men are valued more highly in leadership positions. This might affect how people communicate with one another and collaborate in groups. Women found in positions of leadership, for example. frequently find themselves in a fix, where they have to be competent and assertive leaders while also maintaining conventional feminine traits like warmth and nurturing. (2002) Karau and Eagly. Female leaders must carefully balance these responsibilities since doing otherwise will make them the target of criticism and scrutiny.

Another concept is the "Glass Ceiling". Gender stereotypes contribute to the invisible barrier known as the "glass ceiling," which limits women's ability to advance in the workplace. Ridgeway and Diekema (1992). Women are unable to fill high leadership positions due to stereotypes that associate leadership with masculine characteristics, which limits their capacity to advance in their jobs.

Leadership effectiveness may be skewed due to gender biases. According to Eagly & Karau, (2002), men and women typically rate male leaders more than female leaders, even when their performance is equivalent. This stereotype-based evaluation may have an impact on the career prospects and advancement of women in leadership roles. For instance, the lack of female leadership in the media is similar to the lack of female representation in the media. Only 5% of television writers, executives, and producers are women, claim Lichter and Rothman (1986). Contrary to popular belief, women only make up about 5% of newspaper publishers in corporate management despite making about two-thirds of journalism graduates ("Women in Media," 1988).

Gender stereotypes in the workplace might affect negotiation strategies in addition to leadership roles. Whether they take place at work, in business settings, or in personal circumstances, negotiations are crucial for resolving conflicts, making decisions, and reaching agreements. However, gendered communication patterns frequently have an impact on the dynamics of negotiation, leading to distinct challenges and results for men and women.

Gender stereotypes may influence how bargaining tactics are viewed. According to Bowles, Babcock, and McGinn (2005), this explains why assertive bargaining by women may lead to punishment for breaching gender norms, whereas assertive negotiation by men may lead to reward. This stereotype-based

evaluation affects women's ability to advocate for themselves and get what they want in negotiations.

Women who negotiate assertively may also encounter negative criticism and perceptions of being "difficult" or "pushy" as a result (Bowles et al., 2005). This backlash can make it more difficult for women to achieve their goals during negotiations and might deter them from employing strong negotiation strategies.

Gendered communication norms in the workplace can affect how power dynamics play out in negotiations. Research suggests that it may be challenging for women in leadership roles to assert their authority without coming across as combative or disagreeable however, men in leadership roles might be expected to show aggression and resolve. Wood (2008).

The interpretation of verbal and nonverbal communication cues may differ depending on the gender. For instance, studies have indicated that vocal indications of uncertainty made by women in negotiations may be seen more negatively than those made by men (Wood, 2008). In addition to linguistic traits, gender stereotypes can also be extended to nonverbal ones including body language and voice tonality.

Barriers to Effective Gender Communication

Breaking gender stereotypes in communication requires an understanding of the barriers that hinder progress in this area. Several studies have examined these barriers, shedding light on the problems individuals face and the societal factors that contribute to the perpetuation of gender stereotypes.

One key barrier is the influence of societal norms and expectations. Wood (1994) explains that individuals are socialized into specific gender roles, which include prescribed communication styles and behaviors. These norms create expectations that may limit individuals' ability to break free from traditional gender stereotypes in their communication patterns.

Media representations also play a significant role in perpetuating gender stereotypes and reinforcing communication barriers. Sterk& Adams (2002) highlight how media portrayals often reinforce traditional gender roles and expectations, creating a cycle where individuals are influenced by these representations and replicate them in their own communication practices.

Another obstacle to eradicating gender preconceptions in communication is power dynamics and uneven gender relations. Men frequently possess more privilege and power in a variety of social, economic, and political circumstances, which is referred to as

the unequal distribution of power between men and women in power dynamics. This disparity in power has the potential to fuel injustice, discrimination, and the maintenance of gender disparities. According to West and Zimmerman (1987), cultural systems continue to perpetuate power disparities between the sexes, which might have an impact on communication dynamics. Due to this imbalance, certain voices may be marginalized or silenced, which would further stereotyped communication patterns.

Women are underrepresented in positions of political authority and decision-making, which is another example of how gender-based power dynamics appear in politics. Krook, M. L., & Childs (2010)

The gender pay gap, where women often receive less than men for similar labor and reflects disparities in the workplace, is a well-documented expression of gender-based power dynamics. Blau, (2017)

The division of labor results from how specific activities and duties are frequently assigned according to traditional gender norms. Given that some roles might be linked to greater influence and authority, this separation serves to maintain existing power disparities. A. R. Hochschild (1989)

According to Fitzgerald (1997), gender power disparities can contribute to sexual harassment and gender-based violence, with offenders frequently employing control and authority to take advantage of their victims.

Institutional barriers within organizations and workplaces can also impede progress in breaking gender stereotypes. For example, unequal representation in leadership positions and biased promotion practices can limit opportunities for individuals to challenge gender norms in their communication styles (Ely et al., 2011). Additionally, workplace cultures that reinforce traditional gender roles and expectations may discourage individuals from expressing themselves authentically. For example, a paper by the Pew Research Center found that women are interrupted more often than men in meetings. The paper also found that women are less likely to be seen as leaders than men, even when they have the same qualifications.

Another example is the #MeToo movement, which has brought attention to the issue of sexual harassment and assault in the workplace. Many women have spoken out about how they have been harassed or assaulted by men in positions of power. These experiences illustrate how gender stereotypes can create a climate of inequality and harassment in the workplace.

These barriers highlight the complex nature of breaking gender stereotypes in communication. Overcoming them requires addressing societal norms, media influences, power dynamics, and

institutional practices. It calls for a multi-faceted approach that includes individual awareness, systemic changes, and creating inclusive environments that value diverse communication styles.

Negative Consequences of Gender Stereotypes on Effective Communication

According to Wood (1994) gender stereotypes can have a negative impact on effective communication in a number of ways. It can lead to misunderstandings, conflict and feelings of silencing or marginalization. For example, if a man is assertive in a meeting, he may be seen as a strong leader. However, if a woman is assertive in a meeting, she may be seen as aggressive or pushy or that her contributions are not valued. According to Brescoll& Uhlmann (2008), women who were reminded of the stereotype that women are not good leaders performed worse on a leadership task than women who were not reminded of the stereotype. This suggests that gender stereotypes can have a negative impact on women's performance in leadership roles.

In a similar paper, Kray and Thompson (2005) found that women who are expected to be less assertive than men are less likely to negotiate effectively. This suggests that gender stereotypes can lead to women being less assertive in negotiations, which can have a negative impact on their performance.

(Rudman 2001) discusses the different ways that stereotypes can impact our thoughts, feelings, and behaviors. The central argument is that stereotypes can lead to discrimination, prejudice, and social inequality as Women who were viewed as receiving benefits from affirmative action were more likely to be despised and thought to be less competent than women who were not. This shows that women may face discrimination for achieving success in industries where men predominate.

According to Yoder (1987) Tokenism is another negative result of gender stereotype. This concept is used to refer to a situationwhere women may be hired or promoted simply to meet a diversity quota, rather than because they are qualified for the position. Tokenism can be harmful because it can lead to feelings of isolation, marginalization, and discrimination. in the context of communication, tokenism can lead to a number of barriers. For example, tokens may be less likely to be listened to or taken seriously and are also more likely to be stereotyped and marginalized. Tokenism can be unintentional. Sometimes, people may include tokens simply because they are not aware of the negative consequences of tokenism. it can be harmful to both the

tokens and the majority group. Tokens may feel isolated and marginalized, while the majority group may feel like they are being forced to be inclusive. it can be difficult to overcome. It takes time and effort to create a truly inclusive and equitable communication environment.

The reoccurring fact is that gender stereotypes can have a negative impact on effective communication, it is therefore important to be aware of these stereotypes and to challenge them in order to create a more inclusive and equitable communication environment.

Breaking Gender Stereotypes in Communication:

A. Individual Approaches and Interventions

To advance gender equality and foster more inclusive and respectful relationships, gender preconceptions in communication must be broken. By actively challenging and altering their communication and behavior patterns, individuals can actively change traditional gender norms. Research in the domains of gender studies and communication studies provides important insights into interventions and tactics that people might use to reject gender stereotypes. Key tactics and interventions to use include:

- The first step in confronting gender biases and preconceptions is becoming conscious of one's own. Self-reflection and meditation are mindfulness techniques that can assist people in recognizing and challenging their established gender stereotypes.
- Use language that is inclusive of all genders and free of presumptions about gender roles and identities. It is easier to foster an inclusive and courteous communication environment by using neutral terminology and pronouns.
- aggressively questioning long-standing gendered communication practices that feed prejudices. Interactions can become more inclusive and egalitarian by fostering varied communication styles and open dialogue.
- Provide opportunities for people from underrepresented genders to share their opinions and thoughts by actively listening to and amplifying their voices.
- Recognize and champion female role models in various industries to dispel prejudices and highlight the diversity of talents and capabilities across genders.
- Attend training sessions and educational programs on diversity and gender sensitivity to promote an inclusive and respectful communication culture.

- Be aware of unconscious biases when giving feedback and evaluating people, making sure that assessments are fair and impartial without rewarding or punishing people based on their gender.

B. Organizational approaches to promoting inclusive communication

Fostering a diverse and equitable workplace requires firms to actively promote inclusive communication. The development of an inclusive communication culture has sparked the development of numerous organizational techniques and strategies. Some of the most important strategies that businesses can and should use are as follows:

Providing diversity and inclusion training to all employees aid in reducing unconscious bias, encourages comprehension of many viewpoints, and improves communication abilities in a variety of contexts.

- Setting standards for inclusive language usage in official communications, rules, and corporate materials aids in avoiding gender-biased or exclusionary language.
- Establishing a culture of respect and openness and teaching leaders to communicate inclusively help set an example for all staff members.
- Holding routine employee feedback meetings and surveys to gauge the organization's communication atmosphere and make any necessary adjustments.
- Offering cross-cultural communication skills training helps staff recognize cultural differences and modify their communication style as necessary.
- Putting in place mentorship and sponsorship initiatives that promote the professional advancement and inclusion of staff members from underrepresented groups.
- Providing a variety of communication methods (such as written, virtual, and in-person) makes sure that every employee can participate efficiently and comfortably.
- Planning events and activities that promote inclusion and diversity a sense of belonging and unity among employees.

When these organizational strategies are blended and incorporated into the company's culture, an atmosphere where employees feel appreciated, respected, and heard is produced. The literature on inclusive communication stresses the value of organizational

commitment and ongoing initiatives to advance diversity, equity, and inclusion. Organizations may improve employee creativity, collaboration, and productivity while promoting a happy and encouraging work environment through developing inclusive communication.

C. Educational initiatives to tackle gender stereotypes

Education-related efforts are crucial in influencing people's knowledge, abilities, and attitudes, which has a big impact on both the individual and the society levels. The efficiency of various educational programs in multiple domains has been the subject of numerous studies. The following are some typical forms of educational initiatives and their possible effects:

- It has been demonstrated that children's cognitive, social, and emotional development is enhanced by high-quality early childhood education programs, which results in improved academic performance and narrowed achievement gaps.
- UNESCO (2009) states that inclusive education efforts encourage the participation of students from a variety of backgrounds and abilities in normal classroom settings, improving social inclusion and academic outcomes for all students.
- Programs for environmental education can raise people's knowledge and comprehension of environmental problems, which can affect people's attitudes and behaviors in favor of sustainability.
- The use of digital and online learning resources in the classroom can increase accessibility to education, promote student performance, and offer individualized learning opportunities.

Conclusion and recommendation

Having explored the benefits of breaking gender stereotypes in communication, it’s safe to say that the positive outcomes, such as fostering inclusivity, encouraging diverse perspectives, improving collaboration and empathy, empowering individuals, and enhancing self-expression is worth aiming for because, by challenging and transcending traditional gender norms in communication, individuals can create a more equitable and inclusive society, where everyone's voices are heard and valued.

Therefore, it is essential for individuals and society as a whole to actively challenge and break gender stereotypes in communication.

One must recognize that communication styles and behaviors are not inherently tied to one's gender, and individuals should be free to express themselves authentically without fear of judgment or constraint.

To accomplish this, the paper recommends that individuals can start by examining their own biases and assumptions about gender roles in communication. By consciously avoiding the use of gender stereotypes and being mindful of how they communicate with others, individuals can contribute to a more inclusive and equal dialogue. This includes using gender-neutral language, promoting active listening, and valuing diverse perspectives.

Society also plays a crucial role in breaking gender stereotypes in communication. Educational institutions, workplaces, and media outlets should actively promote and support gender-inclusive communication practices. This involves providing training programs that raise awareness of gender stereotypes, promoting gender-balanced representation in leadership and decision-making roles, and encouraging open discussions about gender norms and their impact on communication dynamics.

By breaking gender stereotypes in communication, we have the potential to create a more effective and inclusive communication landscape. When individuals are not confined to predetermined gender roles, it paves the way for healthier relationships, more productive workplaces, and a society that values the unique contributions of each individual, regardless of their gender.

REFERENCES

Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). "The Gender Wage Gap: Extent, Trends, and Explanations.

Brescoll, V. L., & Uhlmann, E. L. (2008). *Can women be leaders? A stereotype threat explanation of the gender gap in leadership*. Psychological Science, 19(5), 453-458.

Cahn, D. D., & Abigail, R. A. (2014). "Communication in the Real World: An Introduction toCommunication Studies.

Cox, T. H., & Blake, S. (1991). "Managing cultural diversity: Implications for organizational competitiveness.

Craft, C. (1988). TOO old, t00 ugly, and not d+rential to men An anchor woman2 courageous baffle against sex discrimination. R&and, CA: prima.

Davis, D. M. (1990). Portrayals of women in prune-tune network television: Some demographic characteristics. Sex Roles, 23, 32.5332.

Deutsch, M., & Gerard, H. B. (1955). "A Paper of Normative and Informational Social Influences upon Individual Judgment."

Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). "Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders." Valian, V. (1998). "Why So Slow? The Advancement of Women.

Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. Psychological Review, 109(3), 573-598.

Eisenberg, E. M., & Goodall, H. L. (1993). "Organizational communication: Balancing creativity and constraint.

Ely, R. J., Ibarra, H., & Kolb, D. M. (2011). Taking gender into account: Theory and design for women's leadership development programs. Academy of Management Learning & Education, 10(3), 474-493.

Ely, Robin J., and Debra Meyerson. "Theories of Gender in Organizations." Research in Organizational Behavior 25 (2003): 251-388.

Feldman, N. S., & Brow% control strategies: What h'ld E. (1984, April). Male vs. female differences in c 1 191 1 paper presented at the meeting of the Eastern earn jrom Saturday morning television ciation, Bakimore, MD. (Cited in Basow, 1992.) Psych

Ferdman, B. M., & Deane, B. R. (2014). "Beyond the individual: Toward a nomological network of organizational inclusion and diversity practices.

Fernandez, R. M., Castilla, E. J., & Moore, P. (2000). "Social capital at work: Networks and employment at a phone center.

Fiske, S. T., & Stevens, L. J. (1993). What's so special about women? Sex and gender stereotypes. In A. Y. Poortinga& J. M. Verhofstadt (Eds.), Cultural psychology: Essays on comparative human development (pp. 276-298). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Fitzgerald, L. F., Swan, S., &Magley, V. J. (1997). "But was it really sexual harassment? Legal, behavioral, and psychological definitions of the workplace victimization of women.

Glick, P., & Fiske, S. T. (1996). The ambivalent sexism inventory: Differentiating hostile and benevolent sexism. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 70(3), 491-512.

Goleman, D. (1995). "Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ.

Gudykunst, W. B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1988). "Culture and interpersonal communication."

Haddock, G., Zanna, M. P., &Esses, V. M. (Eds.). (2013). The Psychology of Prejudice: From Attitudes to Social Action. Psychology Press.

Hall, E. T. (1959). "The Silent Language.

Halpern, D. F., Straight, C. A., & Stephenson, C. L. (2011). Beliefs about cognitive gender differences: Accurate for direction, underestimated for size. Sex Roles, 64, 336-347.

Heckman, J. J. (2006). "Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children."

Heilman, M. E., &Okimoto, T. G. (2007). "Why are women penalized for success at male tasks?: The implied communality deficit."

Hochschild, A. R. (1989). "The Second Shift: Working Parents and the Revolution at Home."

Hochschild, A. R. (1989). "The Second Shift: Working Parents and the Revolution at Home.

https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-truth-about-exercise-addiction/202109/can-gender-equality-increase-gender-stereotyping

Hynds, R. D., & Merrill, P. F. (2003). "Language and gender: A reader."

Johnson, K. L., & Swain, S. D. (1994). Sexism and interruptions in women's speech. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 13(1), 5-21.

Kanter, Rosabeth Moss. "Tokenism and Women in Organizations." American Journal of Sociology 75.2 (1977): 375-393.

Koenig, A. M., & Eagly, A. H. (2005). Stereotype threat in men on a test of social sensitivity. Sex Roles, 52(7-8), 489-496.

Kray, L. J., & Thompson, L. (2005). Gender stereotypes and negotiation performance: An examination of the role of gender-based expectations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 88(3), 416-429.

Krieger, L. H., & Fiske, S. T. (2006). "Behavioral realism in employment discrimination law: Implicit bias and disparate treatment."

Krook, M. L., & Childs, S. (2010). "Critical Mass Theory and Women's Political Representation.

Lockenhoff, C. E., Chan, W., McCrae, R. R., De Fruyt, F., Jussim, L., De Bolle, M., … & Pramila, V. S. (2014). Gender stereotypes of personality: Universal and accurate? Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 45, 675-694.

Martin, C. L. (1987). A ratio measure of sex stereotyping. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 52, 489-499.

Martin, L. L., & Cullen, M. J. (2006). "Continued influence of misattributed arousal on political attitudes.

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2010). "Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies.

Miller, G. A. (1956). "The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information.

Morrison, A. M., White, R. P., & Van Velsor, E. (1987). "Breaking the glass ceiling: Can women reach the top of America's largest corporations?

Moss-Racusin, C. A., Dovidio, J. F., Brescoll, V. L., Graham, M. J., &Handelsman, J. (2012). "Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students."

Munson, L. J., & West, C. (2016). "Framing Gender: Co-Construction and Gender Inclusivity in Women's and Men's Talk.

O'Keeffe, B., & Scott, M. (2010). "Key Concepts in Communication and Cultural Studies.

Peirce, K (1990). A feminist theoretical perspective on the socialization of h3q$e girls through henfem magazine Sex Roles 23 491-500

Phelan, S., & Rudman, L. A. (2010). The social psychology of stereotyping. Annual Review of Psychology, 61, 113-138.

Pines, A. M., Aronson, E., & Kafry, D. (1971). "Opinions as a Function of the Orthogonality and Reliability of the Attitude-Object Relationship.

Ragins, B. R., &Kram, K. E. (2007). "The Handbook of Mentoring at Work: Theory, Research, and Practice.

Rennie, D. L., & Brouwer, J. N. (1995). "Counselling and psychotherapy theory and practice: A contemporary perspective.

Rice, R. E., & Shook, D. E. (1990). "Communication and Organizational Cultures."

Ridgeway, C. L., &Correll, S. J. (2004). "Unpacking the Gender System: A Theoretical Perspective on Gender Beliefs and Social Relations."

Ridgeway, Cecilia L., and Shelley J. Correll. "Status, Group Processes, and Gender." Handbook of Gender and Social Psychology 2 (2004): 431-468.

Rudman, L. A., & Glick, P. (2001). Prescriptive gender stereotypes and backlash toward agentic women. Journal of Social Issues, 57(4), 743-762.

Rudman, L. A., & Glick, P. (2001). The role of gender in the backlash against affirmative action. Journal of Applied Psychology, 86(3), 48-60.

Shore, L. M., Cleveland, J. N., & Sanchez, D. (2018). "Inclusive workplaces: A review and model.

Sterk, H., & Adams, J. (2002). Gender stereotypes in MTV commercials: The beat goes on. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 46(2), 231-254.

UNESCO. (2009). "Policy guidelines on inclusion in education.

West, C., & Zimmerman, D. H. (1987). "Doing gender."

West, C., & Zimmerman, D. H. (1987). Doing gender. Gender & Society, 1(2), 125-151.

Wheeler, J., & Gunn, A. (2010). "The value of environmental education for sustainability."

Wood, J. T. (1984). Who cares: Women, cure, and culture. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press

Wood, J. T. (1994). Gendered lives: Communication, gender, and culture. Cengage Learning.

Wood, Julia T., and Julia B. Bartels. "Gender Stereotypes: A Social Psychological Analysis." Annual Review of Psychology 61 (2010): 87-115.

Wood, W. (1994). Gender and interpersonal communication. In A. L. Weber & J. H. Harvey (Eds.), Perspectives on interpersonal communication (pp. 89-120). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Yoder, JoAnn. "Tokenism and Women in the Workplace: Tokenism Reconsidered." Sex Roles 16.11-12 (1987): 609-627.