NEOPATRIMONIALISM AND THE CRISIS OF MERITOCRACY IN NIGERIA: ABUSE OF FEDERAL CHARACTER PRINCIPLES

SABASTINE EDIBA
Department of Political Science, Nigerian Army University Biu, Borno State.
edibasabastine@gmail.com

JOY CHINAZAEKPERE NEBO-OKPALA
Department of Political science, University of Nigeria Nsukka, Enugu State.
chinazaekpere.okpala@unn.edu.ng

PORTPHY DESIRE REMILEKUN
Abenwoha Group of Companies, Airport Residential Area Arku Korsah Road, Accra Ghana.
dportphy1998@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64633/wissj.v10i3.24

Keywords

Neopatrimonialism, Meritocracy, Bureaucracy and Governance

Abstract

The persistent subversion of meritocratic principles in Nigeria's public institutions has significantly undermined bureaucratic efficiency, weakened policy implementation, and eroded public trust. Central to this problem is the abuse of the Federal Character Principle, which, intended to ensure equitable representation, has often been exploited to prioritize political loyalty and ethnic considerations over competence. This study investigates the interplay between neopatrimonialism and the erosion of meritocracy in Nigeria, focusing on how informal patron–client networks and political incentives compromise governance. It specifically examines the persistence of neopatrimonial practices and identifies the key factors that undermine merit-based recruitment and administrative performance. Anchored on neopatrimonialism theory, the research adopts a qualitative approach, employing documentary analysis of secondary sources, including books, peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, and credible online materials published between 2020 and 2025. Findings reveal that neopatrimonial norms are deeply embedded in Nigeria's political, historical, and institutional structures, operating through elite networks, ethnic balancing, and political incentives that subvert formal rules. The study further finds that manipulation of the Federal Character Principle, political patronage, institutional weaknesses, and socio-cultural expectations collectively undermine merit-based governance, reducing bureaucratic efficiency, policy coherence, and public trust. The

study concludes that restoring meritocracy requires deliberate reforms targeting both formal institutions and informal patronage networks. Policy recommendations include strengthening institutional autonomy, implementing transparent and competitive recruitment processes, enforcing performance-based accountability, and aligning incentives with competence to enhance public sector efficiency and sustainable development.

Introduction

Nigeria's postcolonial state has been characterized by a complex interplay between formal bureaucratic institutions and informal networks of power that shape political behavior and resource distribution. While the country formally adopted modern state structures inherited from colonial administration, these institutions have historically operated alongside deeply personalized systems of rule in which loyalty, ethnicity, and elite affiliation often outweigh formal rules and professional standards. Scholars increasingly describe this configuration as neopatrimonialism a governance system where legal rational institutions exist but are routinely undermined by patron–client relations (Cheeseman, 2020; Englebert& Dunn, 2023). Since the return to democratic rule in 1999, Nigeria has undertaken multiple governance and public sector reforms aimed at strengthening institutional capacity, improving transparency, and fostering economic growth. These reforms include civil service restructuring, anti-corruption initiatives, and efforts to professionalize public administration. However, despite periods of economic expansion particularly during oil booms these reforms have yielded limited and uneven results. Recent political economy scholarship suggests that the persistence of neopatrimonial practices has significantly constrained the effectiveness of reform efforts by subordinating institutional rules to elite bargaining and political survival strategies (Adebanwi&Obadare, 2021; Kew, 2022).

Neopatrimonialism in Nigeria is deeply embedded in the political settlement that governs access to state power and resources. Political office is widely perceived as a primary avenue for wealth accumulation and redistribution, creating strong incentives for officeholders to maintain extensive patronage networks. These networks often depend on discretionary control over public appointments, contracts, and fiscal allocations, thereby weakening bureaucratic autonomy and merit-based decision-making (Joseph, 2020; Villalón&Idrissa, 2021). In this context, public institutions frequently function less as neutral arbiters of public interest and more as instruments for sustaining elite coalitions. The implications of this governance structure for meritocracy are profound. Meritocratic systems rely on standardized recruitment procedures, performance-based promotion, and institutional insulation from political interference. In Nigeria, however, recent studies document how political appointments, informal quotas, and patronage considerations routinely override competence and technical expertise, particularly in key economic and administrative institutions (Rothstein, 2021; Grindle, 2022). This erosion of meritocracy has contributed to declining bureaucratic morale, reduced policy coherence, and limited capacity for long-term economic planning. In Nigeria, weak enforcement of meritocratic norms has undermined state capacity, leading to inefficiencies in public investment, regulatory capture, and poor service

delivery all of which negatively affect productivity and private sector confidence.

The structure of Nigeria's federal system has further reinforced neopatrimonial dynamics. Federalism, while intended to manage diversity and decentralize power, has often expanded opportunities for elite capture at both national and subnational levels. Control over federal allocations, state budgets, and local government resources has intensified competition for office, turning political positions into strategic assets within patronage networks. Recent studies highlight how fiscal federalism in Nigeria incentivizes rent-seeking behavior and weakens accountability, particularly where institutional oversight is fragile (Kew, 2022; Olukoshi, 2023). In such an environment, meritocratic principles are frequently subordinated to political calculations aimed at maintaining coalition stability. Electoral politics has also played a significant role in sustaining neopatrimonial governance. Campaign financing in Nigeria is heavily dependent on elite sponsorship, creating reciprocal obligations between officeholders and political financiers. These obligations often translate into preferential access to public appointments, contracts, and regulatory advantages once candidates assume office. Scholars note that this cycle undermines bureaucratic professionalism by embedding political loyalty into administrative decision-making processes (Cheeseman& Anderson, 2021; Villalón, 2024).

The crisis of meritocracy has social and psychological dimensions that extend beyond institutional performance. When professional advancement is perceived to depend more on connections than competence, incentives for skills acquisition, innovation, and ethical conduct are weakened. Contemporary Nigerian scholars argue that this perception has contributed to brain drain, declining public service motivation, and the informalization of economic activity, as skilled individuals seek opportunities outside state institutions or outside the country altogether (Obadare, 2021; Adeyemi, 2023). These trends carry significant long-term implications for human capital development and economic competitiveness.

Statement of the Problem

Despite extensive scholarship on governance and development in Nigeria, a significant conceptual and empirical gap persists in understanding the interaction between neopatrimonialism and the erosion of meritocracy, particularly in relation to the operationalization of the Federal Character Principle. Existing studies have largely examined neopatrimonialism as a system of patron–client relations and elite resource distribution, while separate strands of literature have focused on meritocracy as a bureaucratic ideal grounded in competence and professionalism (Cheeseman, 2020; Englebert & Dunn, 2023). However, limited attention has been paid to how these two governance logics intersect and reinforce one another within Nigeria's institutional framework. More importantly, the Federal Character Principle, originally designed as a mechanism for equitable representation, has not been sufficiently interrogated as a conduit through which neopatrimonial practices systematically undermine merit-based recruitment and administrative efficiency. This analytical gap constrains a comprehensive understanding of the structural and institutional dynamics driving the crisis of meritocracy in Nigeria.

The persistence of this gap has significant theoretical and practical implications. While policy reforms have predominantly targeted formal institutional structures, such as civil service reforms and anti-corruption initiatives,

they have largely failed to address the informal patronage networks that shape recruitment, promotion, and resource allocation in practice (Adebanwi, 2024; Olukoshi, 2023). Consequently, meritocratic principles remain weakly enforced, resulting in declining bureaucratic capacity, poor service delivery, and diminished public trust. The problem, therefore, is not merely the existence of neopatrimonialism or the distortion of meritocracy in isolation, but the insufficient understanding of how the abuse of the Federal Character Principle mediates this relationship within Nigeria's governance system. Addressing this gap is critical for developing more effective reform strategies that integrate both formal institutional design and informal political realities, thereby enhancing state capacity and promoting sustainable development.

The Objectives of the studyare to:

i. Examine the nature and persistence of neopatrimonial practices within Nigeria's governance system.

ii. Identify and analyze the factors that undermine meritocracy in public institutions.

Neopatrimonialism and the Crisis of Meritocracy in Nigeria: The Abuse of the Federal Character Principle

Governance challenges and the subversion of meritocratic ideals are not unique to Nigeria; rather, they constitute a persistent concern across modern states globally. In both developed and developing contexts, formal bureaucratic institutions often coexist with informal networks of personalized power, commonly conceptualized as neo-patrimonialism. This duality constrains administrative efficiency, weakens policy implementation, and undermines socio-economic development (Cheeseman, 2020). In regions such as Latin America, Africa, and Southeast Asia, political patronage and clientelism remain dominant mechanisms through which state resources are allocated, often privileging loyalty, ethnicity, or regional affiliation over competence (Fukuyama, 2024). Consequently, such practices erode public trust, weaken institutional frameworks, and distort economic growth, as state apparatuses become instruments of elite capture rather than vehicles for collective welfare (Englebert & Dunn, 2023).

Building on this global concern, the interaction between neo-patrimonial governance and meritocratic norms has attracted sustained scholarly attention within comparative political economy. Levi and Sacks (2020) argue that neopatrimonial systems, characterized by the dominance of personalistic networks over formal bureaucratic rules, systematically distort public administration by eroding merit-based recruitment and weakening state capacity. Their cross-country analysis demonstrates that states with pronounced neopatrimonial features tend to perform poorly in terms of bureaucratic competence and public service delivery. In a similar vein, Tanzi and Davoodi (2021) contend that patronage-driven recruitment produces inefficiencies and imposes significant opportunity costs on public spending, thereby undermining the foundational principles of meritocratic governance. Collectively, these studies provide a theoretical and empirical basis for understanding how neopatrimonial practices contribute to declining meritocratic performance, thereby setting the stage for more context-specific analyses in regions such as Africa and countries like Nigeria.

Extending the global discourse, research within sub-Saharan Africa affirms that neopatrimonial logics frequently coexist with formal state institutions, producing hybrid governance systems that weaken merit-based

bureaucracies. Cleary and Arguello (2021) demonstrate that in several African states, the persistence of patron–client networks in public appointments undermines professional competence and deepens administrative dysfunction. Their empirical findings reveal that when political loyalty becomes the primary criterion for resource allocation, organizational productivity declines significantly. Similarly, Thompson (2022) argues that neopatrimonial governance across West Africa insulates political elites from accountability, thereby discouraging performance-oriented public service. These studies collectively situate the African experience within the broader literature on neopatrimonialism, highlighting structural patterns that closely mirror the dynamics observed in Nigeria's political economy.

In a similar vein, neo-patrimonialism has become a defining feature of governance in many post-colonial African states, where formal legal-rational bureaucracies exist in constant tension with entrenched patron, client networks. This tension gives rise to hybrid state structures in which official rules are routinely subverted by personalized exercises of power (Hooper, 2017). In countries such as Kenya, Ghana, and Nigeria, these neopatrimonial tendencies have systematically undermined merit-based recruitment and promotion, encouraged rent-seeking behavior, and impeded effective service delivery (Cheeseman, 2020). The challenge is particularly pronounced in ethnically heterogeneous societies, where the need to ensure equitable representation often conflicts with the imperative of professional competence, thereby generating persistent tensions between political inclusion and meritocratic governance (Mustapha, 2006).

In Nigeria, these dynamics are particularly pronounced. Since independence in 1960, the state has formally adopted legal-rational bureaucratic structures. Yet informal networks of patronage, elite coalition-building, and ethnic balancing have remained deeply entrenched, influencing appointments, promotions, and the allocation of public resources (Adebanwi, 2024). The Federal Character Principle, enshrined in the 1999 Constitution, was designed to ensure equitable representation of Nigeria's diverse ethnic and regional groups in the public service. In practice, however, it has often been manipulated to justify appointments based on political loyalty or ethnic affiliation rather than professional competence, undermining meritocracy and bureaucratic efficiency (Agbaire& Dunne, 2024). This systemic compromise has weakened institutional capacity, reduced accountability, and slowed economic growth, as key administrative functions are frequently entrusted to personnel lacking the requisite skills or experience (Fukuyama, 2024; World Bank, 2025).

Furthermore, within the Nigerian context, neopatrimonialism has been widely identified as a key explanatory factor underlying the erosion of meritocratic principles in public administration. Adebanwi and Obadare (2022) argue that entrenched neopatrimonialnetworks, anchored in personalistic politics and ethnic balancing, systematically undermine formal recruitment procedures by prioritizing political loyalty over professional competence in both hiring and promotion decisions. Similarly, Onah (2023) argues that Nigeria's political elite use state offices as patronage assets, thereby institutionalizing mediocrity and reducing bureaucratic quality. These national studies demonstrate that neopatrimonial dynamics are not incidental but deeply embedded in the Nigerian bureaucratic fabric, shaping both policy outcomes and governance norms.

The Federal Character Principle, constitutionally intended to ensure equitable

representation across Nigeria's ethno-regional diversity, has received considerable scholarly attention as both a normative policy and a potential vehicle for patronage politics. Mustapha (2021) illustrates how the principle, while designed to prevent dominance by any single group, can be exploited to justify politically motivated appointments that compromise merit. His analysis shows that when ethnic balancing is prioritized over competence, the outcome is an entrenched bureaucracy of underqualified personnel. Complementing this, Agbaire and Dunne (2023) provide empirical evidence from federal universities indicating that adherence to quota arrangements often displaces highly qualified candidates, thereby diminishing institutional effectiveness.

Further examining the Nigerian Federal Character framework, Nwankwo (2024) argues that its operationalization has shifted from an inclusion-oriented policy to a mechanism for distributing job slots among political constituencies. This shift, she contends, reflects broader neopatrimonial tendencies in Nigerian public life, in which political elites leverage representational norms for patronage gains. Likewise, Iwok (2022) finds that the enforcement of Federal Character quotas often correlates with increased perceptions of unfairness among civil servants, fueling internal dissatisfaction and eroding organizational morale. These studies collectively show that the principle's abuse is not merely a policy design issue but a symptom of deeper patronage logics.

Research examining the consequences of neopatrimonialism on meritocracy also emphasizes its impacts on public sector performance and economic governance. Onuoha and Ekong (2022) observe that the deployment of non-meritocratic personnel in key government agencies leads to weak policy implementation, regulatory capture, and poor public service delivery. Their work indicates that meritocratic deficits have direct implications for service quality, undermining trust in public institutions. Olurode and Ibrahim (2023), in a study of public financial management, link nepotistic appointments to increased financial mismanagement and diminished accountability frameworks, reinforcing the claim that meritocracy erosion has measurable fiscal consequences.

Comparative research highlights how varying degrees of neopatrimonial capture intersect with sector-specific outcomes. Okolie and Ani (2024) find that sectors such as education and healthcare, which rely heavily on technical expertise, are particularly vulnerable to meritocratic breakdowns. They show that politicized hiring practices in these sectors correlate with lower educational outcomes and poorer health indicators, suggesting broader developmental implications. Similarly, Eboigbe and Osaghae (2025) argue that merit-based recruitment is critical to achieving long-term socioeconomic objectives, and its absence directly hinders national competitiveness.

Several scholars have also explored the micro-level mechanisms through which neopatrimonialism and Federal Character distort meritocratic norms. Rafsanjani (2024) highlights how "job racketeering," where political actors sell or allocate positions based on loyalty or monetary exchange, has become a pervasive feature of Nigerian governance. This observation aligns with Akande and Bello (2023), who show that informal patron-client networks systematically shape recruitment outcomes, creating barriers to equitable access and reinforcing elite consolidation.

A handful of studies have focused on policy reforms aimed at mitigating neopatrimonial distortions. Yusuf and Adeyemi (2023) propose

strengthening institutional autonomy and merit-based recruitment protocols as essential steps toward bureaucratic reform. Their work emphasizes digitalisation of recruitment and the insulation of technical agencies from political interference as viable strategies. Similarly, Gberevbie (2022) advocates for performance-based accountability frameworks and professionalized human resource management as pathways to restoring meritocracy in Nigerian public institutions.

Despite a rich and expanding literature, significant gaps remain. Most studies to date provide descriptive or qualitative assessments, with limited longitudinal analyses that directly measure the causal effects of neopatrimonialism on specific governance outcomes. In sum, the literature demonstrates clear linkages between neopatrimonial governance and the erosion of meritocratic norms, with the abuse of the Federal Character Principle functioning as a particularly potent mechanism of that erosion within Nigeria. However, there remains a need for more systematic, multi-level research that connects these patterns to measurable governance and developmental outcomes, especially at sub-national scales.

Theoretical Framework

Neopatrimonialism Theory

This paper is anchored on Neopatrimonialism theory. The theory was first systematically articulated by Jean-François Médard in 1982, who examined the coexistence of formal state institutions and informal, personalized networks of power in postcolonial African states (Médard, 1982). Médard argued that while modern states adopted legal-rational frameworks, political authority often remained deeply personalized, and resource allocation was structured around patron–client relationships rather than competence or institutional rules. This conceptualization was later refined and widely applied by scholars such as Bratton and van de Walle (1997) and Cheeseman (2020) to explain the persistence of informal practices in African governance. At its core, neopatrimonialism posits that political leaders prioritize elite survival and loyalty over public welfare, deploying state resources strategically to maintain support networks (Cheeseman, 2020; Englebert& Dunn, 2023). Formal institutions,constitutions, bureaucracies, and legal frameworks exist in theory but are subordinated to informal networks, resulting in a dual governance system. Patronage is not merely tolerated; it is often socially legitimized, reflecting expectations that political office should provide material benefits to supporters or communities (Villalón, 2024).

The epical strength of neopatrimonialism theory lies in its capacity to explain the apparent contradictions of African governance: why states may appear institutionally modern yet deliver suboptimal outcomes, and why reform initiatives frequently fail when informal networks are ignored. It integrates political, social, and economic dimensions, providing a comprehensive lens to analyze governance challenges and inform policy interventions (Englebert& Dunn, 2023; Villalón, 2024).However, the theory is not without limitations. Critics argue that it tends to overgeneralize the African experience, potentially masking the diversity of institutional and political contexts across states (Bratton & van de Walle, 1997). Moreover, early formulations emphasize structural constraints and elite behavior while underestimating the agency of reformers and the potential for meritocratic interventions. Additionally, the theory's focus on political and social dynamics often underplays direct economic consequences,

necessitating its integration with frameworks such as institutional political economy to fully assess the implications for national development (Acemoglu& Robinson, 2020). Neopatrimonialism theory provides the primary lens for understanding the persistence of personalized rule and patron–client relations within ostensibly modern state institutions. Recent theoretical refinements emphasize that neopatrimonial systems are not necessarily dysfunctional by accident, but are often politically rational arrangements that help elites manage competition, distribute resources, and maintain regime stability (Englebert&Dunn, 2023; Villalón, 2024). In such systems, formal bureaucratic rules coexist with informal practices that prioritize loyalty and reciprocity over impersonal standards. Applied to Nigeria, neopatrimonialism theory explains why reforms aimed at strengthening bureaucratic professionalism frequently encounter resistance or are selectively implemented. Political leaders may publicly endorse meritocratic norms while informally subverting them to sustain patronage networks. This theoretical perspective thus helps illuminate the structural incentives that reproduce patronage politics and weaken institutional autonomy, even under democratic governance.

Research Methodology

This paper employed a qualitative research approach, utilizing documentary method for data collection.The documentary method involves the systematic use of secondary sources, including textbooks, peer-reviewed journal articles, conference and seminar papers, as well as credible internet materials, magazines, and newspapers. These sources provide relevant theoretical and empirical insights into neopatrimonialism and the Federal Character Principle in Nigeria.

For data analysis, the study employed content analysis. This analytical technique enables the systematic examination and interpretation of textual materials to identify recurring themes, patterns, and relationships relevant to the study. Through content analysis, the study critically interrogates existing literature to generate coherent insights into the dynamics of neopatrimonialism and its implications for meritocratic governance in Nigeria.

The Nature and Persistence of Neopatrimonial Practices in Nigeria's Governance System

Empirical evidence demonstrated that neopatrimonial practices in Nigeria persist due to a combination of historical, political, and socio-economic factors. Historically, colonial administrative legacies established formal bureaucratic institutions but failed to displace preexisting patronage networks and personalized authority structures (Bratton & van de Walle, 1997). Post-independence, political elites capitalized on these networks to consolidate power, creating enduring systems of resource distribution and political loyalty. Joseph (2020) and Villalón and Idrissa (2021) observe that control over appointments, contracts, and fiscal allocations is a primary mechanism through which political leaders sustain patronage networks, reinforcing dependence on loyalty rather than competence. These networks are not peripheral but central to state functionality; they shape access to office and influence policymaking, thereby embedding neopatrimonial norms into the operational logic of governance.

The persistence of neopatrimonial practices is also reinforced by institutional arrangements such as federalism and the Federal Character Principle. Federalism, while intended to manage diversity, creates multiple points of resource

control that elites can exploit for patronage purposes (Kew, 2022; Olukoshi, 2023). Similarly, the Federal Character Principle, designed to promote equitable representation, is frequently manipulated to justify politically motivated appointments, enabling the formal codification of informal networks (Mustapha, 2021; Agbaire& Dunne, 2023). In practice, these arrangements allow political actors to maintain elite coalitions, distribute resources selectively, and circumvent formal merit-based processes.

Moreover, the persistence of neopatrimonial practices is strengthened by the political economy of Nigeria, particularly in a rent-dependent system. Access to public office provides opportunities for wealth accumulation, control over state resources, and the consolidation of political power, incentivizing politicians to maintain extensive patronage networks (Adebanwi &Obadare, 2021; Oya, 2022). The resulting dynamic transforms public institutions from neutral instruments of governance into vehicles for elite interests, with bureaucratic rules often selectively enforced to protect patronage arrangements. This environment normalizes the subversion of formal institutional standards and perpetuates a cycle of loyalty-based governance that weakens institutional autonomy.

Comparative analyses also show that such neopatrimonial tendencies are not unique to Nigeria but are especially pronounced given the country's size, ethnic diversity, and resource dependence. Scholars such as Levi and Sacks (2020) and Tanzi and Davoodi (2021) highlight that states exhibiting pronounced neopatrimonialism frequently experience governance inefficiencies, poor bureaucratic performance, and uneven policy outcomes. In Nigeria, these patterns are evident in public sector practices, where political appointments, informal quotas, and patronage considerations routinely override meritocratic principles, leading to systemic inefficiencies and reduced policy coherence.

Thus, the paper confirmed that neopatrimonial practices in Nigeria are both pervasive and persistent, deeply embedded in the political, historical, and institutional fabric of the state. They operate through a combination of personalistic networks, formal institutional arrangements, and political incentives, which collectively subvert meritocratic governance. Understanding these dynamics is critical for designing interventions aimed at strengthening bureaucratic capacity, enhancing institutional autonomy, and promoting a more merit-oriented public service. Addressing the persistence of neopatrimonialism requires reforms that target not only formal institutional structures but also the informal networks and incentives that perpetuate loyalty-based governance.

Factors Undermining Meritocracy in Nigeria's Public Institutions

One of the primary factors identified is the pervasive influence of political patronage and clientelism. The study confirms that political leaders routinely use public offices to consolidate support, reward loyalty, and maintain elite coalitions, rather than to promote competence (Joseph, 2020; Villalón & Idrissa, 2021). In such a context, appointments and promotions are often determined by political calculations, informal quotas, or ethnic balancing rather than by qualifications or professional performance (Adebanwi, 2024). This aligns with empirical evidence from federal agencies and state institutions, where political interference has been shown to distort recruitment, bypass civil service rules, and privilege individuals with strong political connections over highly competent candidates (Onah, 2023; Olurode& Ibrahim, 2023).

A second key factor is the manipulation of the Federal Character Principle. Originally designed to ensure equitable representation across Nigeria's ethno-regional diversity, the principle has often been exploited as a tool for patronage and political favoritism (Mustapha, 2021; Agbaire& Dunne, 2023). Findings indicate that compliance with ethnic or regional quotas frequently takes precedence over competence, resulting in the deployment of personnel who may lack the requisite skills or experience for their roles. This practice not only compromises institutional performance but also demoralizes staff who observe merit-based norms being routinely ignored, leading to reduced motivation and a culture of mediocrity within public institutions (Nwankwo, 2024; Iwok, 2022).

Institutional weaknesses and lack of enforcement mechanisms further exacerbate the decline of meritocracy. The study finds that formal recruitment rules, performance evaluation systems, and regulatory frameworks often exist on paper but are inconsistently applied due to weak oversight, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and political interference (Rafsanjani, 2024; Akande & Bello, 2023). Without effective enforcement, merit-based policies cannot counterbalance the influence of patronage networks, leaving public institutions vulnerable to arbitrary decisions that favor personal or political interests over professional competence.

Socio-economic and historical legacies also play a role. The Nigerian state's postcolonial trajectory, characterized by centralized resource control and ethnically fragmented politics, created structural incentives for the personalization of power and the prioritization of loyalty over technical skill (Bratton & van de Walle, 1997; Adebanwi &Obadare, 2021). Resource dependence, particularly in rent-rich sectors such as oil and government contracts, reinforces the incentive for leaders to maintain clientelist networks, further undermining merit-based governance.

Finally, the cultural and psychological dimensions of governance contribute to the erosion of meritocracy. Public officials and employees often perceive career advancement as contingent on personal connections or political affiliation rather than competence, fostering a culture of patronage, opportunism, and limited accountability (Obadare, 2021; Adeyemi, 2023). This perception weakens the incentives for skill acquisition, professional development, and ethical conduct, contributing to brain drain and the informalization of skilled labor. Consequently, the failure to uphold meritocratic standards generates long-term effects on human capital, policy coherence, and institutional resilience.

Thus, the paper identifies the undermining of meritocracy in Nigeria as a multidimensional phenomenon driven by political patronage, manipulation of the Federal Character Principle, institutional weaknesses, historical legacies, and socio-cultural norms. These factors interact to compromise recruitment, promotion, and operational efficiency within public institutions, weakening state capacity and reducing the effectiveness of governance. Addressing these challenges requires an integrated reform approach that strengthens institutional enforcement, curtails political interference, professionalizes human resource management, and aligns ethical incentives with competence-based outcomes. Such interventions are critical to restoring meritocracy, enhancing public service performance, and fostering sustainable development in Nigeria.

Conclusion

This paper concluded that neopatrimonial practices remain deeply entrenched within Nigeria's governance system, profoundly influencing the operation of public institutions. Political loyalty, ethnic balancing, and elite interests frequently take precedence over merit-based principles, shaping recruitment, promotion, and resource allocation processes. The Federal Character Principle, while intended to ensure equitable representation, has often been manipulated as a tool for patronage, further undermining meritocracy and bureaucratic efficiency. Consequently, public institutions frequently function less as impartial arbiters of competence and more as instruments for sustaining elite networks and political survival.

The paper further concluded that the erosion of meritocracy in Nigerian public institutions is driven by multiple factors, including weak enforcement of formal rules, the politicization of appointments, socio-cultural perceptions of public office as a personal reward, and inadequate institutional safeguards against patronage. These dynamics weaken bureaucratic competence, reduce policy coherence, and diminish public trust, while also discouraging skill acquisition and professional motivation. Importantly, the study demonstrates that addressing the crisis of meritocracy requires not only formal institutional reforms but also the curtailment of informal patronage networks, strengthening of professional human resource practices, and realignment of incentives toward competence and accountability. Integrating these measures is essential for restoring meritocratic norms, enhancing institutional performance, and promoting sustainable governance and development in Nigeria.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following practical recommendations are proposed to address the persistence of neopatrimonial practices and the erosion of meritocracy in Nigeria's public institutions:

Government should develop clear guidelines that balance equitable representation with professional competence. For instance, recruitment panels should include independent technical experts to ensure that appointments meet both the representational and meritocratic criteria, reducing the scope for political manipulation.

Continuous training programs for public servants should be institutionalized to enhance skills, ethics, and accountability. Workshops and certifications in public administration, human resource management, and leadership can empower officials to prioritize competence over political loyalty, fostering a culture of professionalism.

Practical oversight can be enhanced through independent audit committees, whistleblower protection schemes, and publicly accessible reporting platforms. For example, digital dashboards showing appointments, promotions, and resource allocation can help citizens and civil society track compliance with merit-based policies, limiting opportunities for patronage and favoritism.

References

Adebanwi, W. (2024). *Neopatrimonialism and political economy in contemporary Nigeria*. Oxford University Press.

Adebanwi, W., &Obadare, E. (2021). Neopatrimonial politics and state capacity in Nigeria. *African Affairs, 120*(479), 1–25.

Adebanwi, W., &Obadare, E. (2022). *Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: Critical interpretations*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Adeyemi, T. (2023). Meritocracy, brain drain, and the Nigerian public service. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance, 13*(2), 45–60.

Agbaire, J. J., & Dunne, M. (2023). Nigerian higher education catchment policy: Exclusions and the absent presence of ethnicity. *Journal of Higher Education in Africa, 21*(2), 45–68.

Agbaire, J. J., & Dunne, M. (2024). Federal character and meritocracy in Nigerian public institutions. *Public Administration Research, 13*(1), 23–41.

Akande, A., & Bello, S. (2023). Patron-client networks and recruitment outcomes in Nigerian public service. *Journal of African Governance, 12*(1), 45–62.

Bratton, M., & van de Walle, N. (1997). *Democratic experiments in Africa: Regime transitions in comparative perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Cheeseman, N. (2020). *Institutions, patronage, and democracy in Africa*. Cambridge University Press.

Cleary, M., & Arguello, L. (2021). Hybrid governance and bureaucratic performance in sub-Saharan Africa. *Comparative African Studies, 19*(3), 275–290.

Eboigbe, D. I., & Osaghae, E. (2025). Meritocracy and national development in Africa. *African Journal of Public Policy, 9*(4), 212–230.

Englebert, P., & Dunn, K. (2023). Neopatrimonialism in contemporary African states: Beyond corruption. *Governance Studies Review, 12*(1), 15–39.

Fukuyama, F. (2024). *Political order and political decay: From the industrial revolution to the globalization of democracy*. Profile Books.

Gberevbie, D. E. (2022). Public sector reforms and performance accountability in Nigeria. *African Journal of Public Administration, 14*(2), 89–105.

Hooper, T. (2017). Neopatrimonialism, good governance, and corruption in Africa: Idealism versus pragmatism. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 7*(2), 225–248.

Iwok, U. M. (2022). Federal character and perceptions of fairness in Nigeria's civil service. *Nigerian Journal of Administrative Studies, 5*(1), 87–101.

Joseph, R. (2020). Political elites and patronage networks in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science, 15*(3), 101–118.

Kew, D. (2022). Federalism, resource control, and elite capture in Nigeria. *Journal of Comparative African Studies, 44*(2), 55–72.

Levi, M., & Sacks, A. (2020). Neopatrimonialism and bureaucratic performance: A comparative analysis. *Governance, 33*(1), 121–140.

Mustapha, A. R. (2006). Ethnic structure, inequality and governance of the public sector in Nigeria. *Democracy, Governance and Human Rights Programme Paper No. 24*. United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.

Mustapha, A. R. (2021). Federal character and national integration in Nigeria. *Journal of Constitutional Development, 8*(1), 67–89.

Nwankwo, E. (2024). Federal Character quotas and institutional efficiency in Nigerian public institutions. *Public Administration Review, 84*(1), 112–129.

Obadare, E. (2021). Patronage, meritocracy, and bureaucratic culture in Nigeria. *African Journal of Governance and Development, 10*(2), 45–66.

Okolie, T., & Ani, E. (2024). Recruitment practices and sectoral outcomes in Nigeria's education and health sectors. *Policy and Society, 41*(1), 99–117.

Olukoshi, A. (2023). Political economy of neopatrimonialism in Africa: The Nigerian case. *Journal of African Political Economy, 19*(1), 1–28.

Olurode, L., & Ibrahim, H. (2023). Public financial management and political appointments in Nigeria. *Journal of African Financial Studies, 11*(3), 211–235.

Onah, F. (2023). Political interference and the erosion of meritocracy in Nigerian public service. *International Journal of Public Administration, 46*(7), 601–617.

Onuoha, B., & Ekong, E. (2022). Nepotism and public service delivery in Nigeria. *Public Administration and Development, 42*(4), 289–307.

Oya, C. (2022). Clientelism and resource distribution in Nigeria. *African Development Review, 34*(4), 521–539.

Rafsanjani, M. (2024). Bureaucratic inefficiencies and job racketeering in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Public Administration, 12*(2), 45–63.

Tanzi, V., & Davoodi, H. (2021). Corruption, public investment, and growth. *Journal of Policy Modeling, 43*(6), 1297–1315.

Thompson, E. (2022). Accountability deficits in neopatrimonial regimes in West Africa. *African Political Science Review, 10*(4), 417–435.

Villalón, L., & Idrissa, A. (2021). Patronage and governance in contemporary Nigeria. *African Affairs, 120*(480), 147–168.

World Bank. (2025). *Nigeria development update: Turning challenges into opportunities*. World Bank Publications.

Yusuf, A., & Adeyemi, O. (2023). Institutional reforms and merit-based governance in Nigeria. *Public Administration Perspectives, 33*(2), 177–194.